

Creative artists should always be given the freedom to express their own ideas in words, pictures, music, or film, in whichever way they wish. There should be no government restrictions on what they do. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this option?

Kindly provide an explanation for your answer and include any pertinent examples drawn from your own prior experience or knowledge.

You should write at least 250 words.

Band 8 IELTS model essay

Numerous academics believe that the government should not abandon artists of various sects who express their opinions via various mediums, such as literature, film, and art. I strongly agree with the above statement, and my supporting arguments are elaborated upon below.

Permitting artists to represent their thinking can be beneficial in many areas where the public is reluctant or unwilling to speak up, such as menstruation and sanitation. Images, music, and cinema are integral elements of daily life in modern society. Consequently, it can be utilized to raise awareness about various topics which have been restricted to be discussed. For instance, the story of Arunachalam Muruganantham, presented in the film "Padman," has proven to be highly effective in addressing the period-related issues of girls and has revolutionized society's prevalent perspective.

Oppositely, unlimited independence in creative works seems absurd, given that the works will be made public and can influence the thoughts of others, especially young people. Thus, this film and others that are well-liked among adolescents should be banned. Because kids are more susceptible to being affected by their environment, it is conceivable for an unsuitable item to have detrimental consequences for children. For instance, students may act out in class, and teen violence may increase. Therefore, these items should be extremely cautious to avoid undesirable actions and antisocial traits.

Overall, the alteration and revolution in society are the outcomes of these inventive artists' arduous labor. I am convinced that the government should not limit their ability to express their opinions. People will undoubtedly reject the concept of an

unsuitable artist. It is an undeniable fact that creative artists are essential members of our society.

Band 7.5 IELTS model essay

It is an established fact that individuals who operate in a liberated environment are more creative. Some people believe that creative artists should have the freedom to express their opinions in whatever form they choose and that this right should not be regulated by the government. I firmly believe that artists should have the freedom to express their emotions, as doing so will increase their creativity.

Everyone in the world, without exception, desires freedom. Nonetheless, for artists such as painters, filmmakers, and authors, this is their most valuable asset. An unconstrained mind is capable of conceiving unique concepts, ideas, and implementation strategies. According to a recent survey conducted in India, artists are more creative when they have unlimited creative freedom. However, it is observed that some government entities strive to limit their opinions so they do not oppose official programs. However, these restrictions may lead to inefficiency.

Also, there are certain disadvantages, as it has been seen that if there are no limits on artists, they will be more creative. For instance, filmmakers and authors attempt to depict violence and convey content that can divide society. For instance, the government prohibits numerous films due to their excessive violence and sexual content, and many books are forbidden from sale due to their provocative content. Furthermore, there should be restrictions on what can be shown and written so that the community can exist in peace.

Overall, we should be on the side of all great works of art, and the community should defend and promote them rather than obstruct or prohibit them. As freedom is a fundamental human right, it ought to be granted to all citizens.

Band 7 IELTS model essay

It is obvious that the government should encourage and safeguard creative artists by providing them with the space and freedom to produce and perform their ideas without danger. However, there are numerous worries regarding this, and I agree with the opinion that culture and innovation should not be restricted.

On the one hand, after the 2015 revolution in Thailand, the prime minister has remained the same for the past seven years and the cabinet has not changed. This is not a normal situation in Thailand, so many artists who disagree with this revolution are protesting in a variety of ways, for instance, some artists comment on the government on social networks, which are then blocked by the government,

while others illustrate news that is restricted by the government through pictures, music, and film. In conclusion, the government concealed these thoughts that I mentioned.

On the other hand, each creative work has a message that it wishes to convey to society; art has performed miracles in public life and awareness; people see how goodness and common sense triumph over evil through novels, and they experience happiness and joy by listening to music; furthermore, when authorities need to raise money for charity, they create movies or advertisements to persuade people to make donations. Therefore, we can say that there are many benefits and great usages that serve and benefit society.

In conclusion, we should be on the side of all great works of art, and the community must defend and promote them, rather than obstruct or prohibit them.

Band 6.5 IELTS model essay

A lot of creative artists employ words, pictures, music, or videos to convey their ideas and experiences to the public. Whether or not the government should restrict the works of artists is a topic of heated disputes between diverse populations. Nonetheless, I feel that the government should refrain from interfering so that artists can develop the best content without restrictions.

The primary reason to endorse the aforementioned remark is because it encourages artists to look beyond the box when generating ideas. In other words, it encourages their creativity, which is crucial for a prosperous community. In the past, for example, movies tended to be of the same genre without much variance. Even if they produced poor content, users would still watch it because there were so few alternatives. With the advent of censorship-free online-streaming platforms such as Amazon Prime and Netflix, more comedic, criminal, and action-oriented content is now available for customers to choose from.

In contrast, managing art works under the supervision of professional experts or agencies plays an essential function in the parenting and education of children. Because art is one of the primary means by which children are educated today, school curricula incorporate all aspects of art, which have a considerable impact on their lifestyle and long-term aspirations. Furthermore, we must transmit traditional art to future generations so that the government will pay greater attention to its preservation. In recent years, conventional art has been obliterated by new international art movements, which artists tend to accept on purpose.

In conclusion, to avoid societal instability and misunderstandings, qualified authorities, particularly pedagogical experts, should evaluate artistic productions. It

could also be said that artists must aspire to define their work through a universal lens and that their creations must strengthen society.