

Several languages are in danger of extinction because they are spoken by very small numbers of people. Some people say that governments should spend public money on saving these languages, while others believe that would be a waste of money. Discuss both these views and give your opinion.

Give reasons for your answer and include relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Several languages are in danger of extinction - 8 Band Score IELTS Model Essay

Our civilizations rely mainly on language as it is the most efficient way of communication. Some languages will eventually go extinct since very few people actively speak them. There are several debates on whether governments should spend money to maintain them or whether doing so could waste our public funds. However, I believe it's essential to keep local languages alive.

There are increased attempts from various states, primarily the more affluent ones, to preserve these languages, which could become extinct soon. For instance, languages from many cultures on our planet are shared through campaigns, language tournaments, and language scholarships for students of diverse backgrounds. As people learn more languages, they will use them to communicate in the future and preserve the languages. As we practice more, sustaining those languages for the succeeding years becomes easier.

However, many argue that governments would have wasted resources if they had to invest heavily in retaining those languages. In addition, the significant and necessary developments that will take place in our communities will require these funds. For example, various public systems, such as health care, transportation, and education, require these resources. Moreover, some languages will perish if they are no longer useful to our society because they are no longer required. Then why would they spend that money on them?

I think these languages should not be forgotten. Language is what represents our culture, place, people, and so on. If a particular place's language is omitted, the

place is replaced and barren, as there will be no one representing it. Hence, we should make efforts and spend money to preserve the languages.

In conclusion, there seem to be two reasons for spending more money to preserve languages. It may cost a lot, but preserving our cultural history is worth it.

Several languages are in danger of extinction - 7.5 Band Score IELTS Model Essay

Some languages are in danger of extinction due to the small number of people left who can speak them. Some say that public money should be used to preserve minority languages, but others think it's a waste because health care and education are more important. It's important to keep some of our heritage alive, so a small part of the national budget could go toward that.

The people in favor of investing in the preservation of languages claim that they are essential to our culture and should be kept for future generations. For example, transferring the language into the print format and translating the literature would be incredibly beneficial and not expensive. This historical treasure would be preserved for the future and may serve as a source of information for future generations if this were accomplished. Therefore, it needs to be done correctly and precisely, and the government must contribute to the process.

On the other hand, some people disagree with the previous perspective and say that there are other sectors, like health and education, that are more essential to invest in. Therefore, it cannot be justified to spend public funds on projects that have no beneficial impacts on society. For instance, development funding must go towards providing for the poor, educating children, and researching treatments for serious diseases. Thus, the remaining aspects are secondary, and funding them would be a waste.

To sum up, it is clear that some native languages are in danger of disappearing since very few people speak them. While some strongly believe that the government should use budget funds to save them, others disagree. I think that some resources could be put toward it because it is part of our heritage.

Several languages are in danger of extinction - 7 Band Score IELTS Model Essay

Rare languages with a limited number of speakers are on the verge of extinction. Conflicting opinions exist on whether or not governments should preserve such languages with public funds. I tend to agree that the authorities should do them a favor.

Governments are obligated to conserve culture, including all tangible and intangible cultures. As a form of intangible culture, languages appear to be tools through which people can share and communicate their ideas, beliefs, arts, and histories. Many languages are fading away, which implies that the cultures of the people who once spoke them are disappearing. Apart from that, learning a variety of languages might help historians to have a better understanding of the evolution of the civilization composed of speakers of rare languages. So, it is logical for the government to use public money to save rare languages.

On the contrary, there is an alternative perspective that considers it a waste of money. These groups are reluctant to use public funds to save languages from extinction since governments in some countries with poverty or epidemics frequently lack the resources necessary to meet the necessities of their citizens. Instead of using the money to protect minority languages, they would much rather use it to raise the standard of living for the population. However, it is feasible to request the preservation of several languages from the United Nations or international charitable foundations. It should not simply be dropped due to poverty or diseases.

In conclusion, I believe that governments must work to prevent the extinction of local languages and that the United Nations has a role in supporting those countries that are struggling financially to finish their tasks.

Several languages are in danger of extinction - 6.5 Band Score IELTS Model Essay

Many languages are disappearing since very few people can speak them. A group of individuals believes that money from the national budget should be designated to preserve these speeches. However, some argue that saving those languages would be a waste of resources. I believe that the government should try to preserve them, but by using the funds that are for the citizens.

Native languages are essential for preserving cultural diversity. Numerous speeches that symbolize other cultures' identities and showcase their cultures to the world serve as evidence for the above claim. Traditional languages also contribute to the maintenance of cultural history. Several epic books were written in ancient languages, and the loss of these would influence the history of the country. For instance, only a few people nowadays can read or speak Sanskrit, the language in which The Bhagavad Geeta was penned.

The preservation of languages is not attainable because it cannot be achieved with a minimum wage. The cost of teaching languages is the reason. In other words, in terms of teaching the language, new teaching structures and teachers are required. Additionally, no one prefers to learn less prominent languages. Because of globalization, youngsters are interested in learning international languages like English and French.

Investing so much money in constructing new educational institutions would be a waste because individuals are more inclined towards learning languages that are in use currently. The government should instead spend that money on meeting people's necessities rather than on language. That would benefit the country as a whole because there are people who are deprived of their essential needs.

To conclude, it is evident that traditional languages have values of aesthetic originality and historical significance. However, employing the public budget to save them is unfair to the population.